arXiv:math/0408382v1 [math.AG] 27 Aug 2004

# JACOBIAN NULLWERTE ASSOCIATED TO HYPERELLIPTIC RIEMANN SURFACES

ROBIN DE JONG

Abstract. We prove a formula expressing the product of certain Jacobian Nullwerte, associated to hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, as a product of certain Thetanullwerte. Doing so we prove part of a conjecture formulated by Guàrdia.

## 1. Introduction

Jacobi's derivative formula is a classical result relating the derivative of an odd theta function to a product of Nullwerte of even theta functions: let $\eta_1$ be the odd analytic theta characteristic in genus one, and let $\eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4$ be the even ones. We then have an equality

$$\vartheta[\eta_1]'(0;\tau) = -\pi \vartheta[\eta_2](0;\tau)\vartheta[\eta_3](0;\tau)\vartheta[\eta_4](0;\tau)$$

of functions of $\tau$ in the complex upper half plane. It is natural to ask for generalisations of this identity to theta functions in higher dimensions. Let $g \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\mathcal{H}_g$ be the Siegel upper half-space of degree $g$ consisting of the symmetric complex $g \times g$-matrices with positive definite imaginary part. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$ (viewed as a column vector), $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$ and $\eta, \eta' \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^g$ we have the theta function with characteristic $\eta = [{\eta' \atop \eta''}]$ defined by

$$\vartheta[\eta](z;\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(\pi i {}^t(n+\eta')\tau(n+\eta') + 2\pi i {}^t(n+\eta')(z+\eta'')) \, .$$

We shall always agree that the entries of $\eta', \eta''$ are in the set $\{0, 1/2\}$. For a theta characteristic $\eta$, the theta function $\vartheta[\eta](z;\tau)$ is either even or odd as a function of $z$. We call $\eta$ even if $\vartheta[\eta](z;\tau)$ is even, and odd if $\vartheta[\eta](z;\tau)$ is odd. Now let $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g$ be $g$ odd theta characteristics in dimension $g$. We define the Jacobian Nullwert in these characteristics to be the jacobian

$$J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau) := (\partial(\vartheta[\eta_1], \ldots, \vartheta[\eta_g])/\partial(z_1, \ldots, z_g))(0;\tau) \, .$$

These functions are modular forms on $\mathcal{H}_g$. Generalisations of Jacobi's derivative formula involving Jacobian Nullwerte have been obtained in the nineteenth century by Riemann,

---

*Date*: February 1, 2008.

Thomae, Rosenhain, Frobenius and others. For example, in the case $g = 2$ we have the famous Rosenhain formula.

**Theorem 1.1.** *(Rosenhain,* [22]*) Let $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_6$ be the six odd theta characteristics in $g = 2$. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Then for every pair of distinct $\eta_k, \eta_l$, the identity*

$$J(\eta_k, \eta_l)(\tau) = \pm\pi^2 \prod_{m \neq k,l} \vartheta[\eta_k + \eta_l - \eta_m](0; \tau)$$

*holds. Here the sum $\eta_k + \eta_l - \eta_m$ is taken modulo 1, and the characteristics $\eta_k + \eta_l - \eta_m$ occurring in the product are even.*

In the twentieth century there was a renewed interest in Jacobian Nullwerte, in connection with the question whether the ring of Thetanullwerte is integrally closed. The ring of Thetanullwerte is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by the functions $\vartheta[\eta](0; \tau)$ on $\mathcal{H}_g$, where $\eta$ runs over the set of even analytic theta characteristics. A general result concerning Jacobian Nullwerte was proven by Igusa. In order to state this result, we need to introduce the notion of a fundamental system of theta characteristics.

**Definition 1.2.** For an analytic theta characteristic $\eta$, we put $e(\eta) := 1$ if $\eta$ is even, and $e(\eta) := -1$ if $\eta$ is odd. Given three analytic theta characteristics $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ we define $e(\eta_1, \eta_2) := e(\eta_1)e(\eta_2)e(\eta_1 + \eta_2)$ and $e(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) := e(\eta_1)e(\eta_2)e(\eta_3)e(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)$. We say that a triplet $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ is azygetic (resp. zygetic) if $e(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = -1$ (resp. $e(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = 1$). A fundamental system of analytic theta characteristics is a set $\mathcal{S} = \{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g, \eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\}$ of $2g + 2$ theta characteristics such that the $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g$ are odd, the $\eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}$ are even, and every triplet $\{\eta_k, \eta_l, \eta_m\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ is azygetic.

**Theorem 1.3.** *(Igusa* [12]*) Let $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g$ be odd analytic theta characteristics such that the function $J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau)$ on $\mathcal{H}_g$ is not identically zero and is contained in the ring of Thetanullwerte. Then $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g$ can be completed to form a fundamental system, and:*

$$J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau) = \pi^g \sum_{\{\eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\} \in \mathcal{S}} \pm \prod_{k=g+1}^{2g+2} \vartheta[\eta_k](0; \tau) \, ,$$

*where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of all $(g+2)$-tuples $\{\eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\}$ of even theta characteristics such that $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g, \eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\}$ form a fundamental system. If $\tau$ is a period matrix of a hyperelliptic Riemann surface, then there is exactly one non-zero term in the sum at the right hand side of the equality.*

Now, consider a hyperelliptic Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \geq 2$. Fix an ordering $W_1, \ldots, W_{2g+2}$ of the Weierstrass points of $X$, *i.e.*, the ramification points of a hyperelliptic map $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Given this ordering, we have a canonical symplectic basis of the homology of $X$, *cf.* [21], Chapter IIIa, §5. Consider next an equation $y^2 = f(x)$ for $X$

with $f$ monic of degree $2g+1$ and putting $W_{2g+2}$ at infinity. We denote by $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_g$ the holomorphic differentials on $X$ given in coordinates by $\mu_1 = dx/2y, \ldots, \mu_g = x^{g-1}dx/2y$. Let $(\mu|\mu')$ be the period matrix of these differentials on the canonical sympletic basis given by our ordering of the Weierstrass points of $X$. It is well-known that the matrix $\mu$ is invertible; we put $\tau := \mu^{-1}\mu'$. Let $\mathrm{Jac}(X) := \mathbb{C}^g/\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau\mathbb{Z}^g$ be the jacobian of $X$ associated to these data and let $\kappa \in \mathrm{Jac}(X)$ be the Riemann vector corresponding to infinity. Consider then the Abel-Jacobi map $u : \mathrm{Pic}_{g-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Jac}(X)$ given by $\sum m_k P_k \mapsto \sum m_k \int_\infty^{P_k} {}^t(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_g) + \kappa$, where $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_g\} := \{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_g\} \cdot {}^t\mu^{-1}$. This map induces an identification of the set of classes of semi-canonical divisors on $X$ (*i.e.*, divisors $D$ with $2D$ linearly equivalent to a canonical divisor) with the set of theta characteristics, the class $[D]$ of $D$ corresponding to $\eta = [{\eta' \atop \eta''}]$ where $u([D]) = [\eta' + \tau \cdot \eta'']$. In our case we can be even more precise. For any subset $S$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2g+1\}$ we define a theta characteristic $\eta_S$ as in [21], Chapter IIIa: let

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{2k-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} {}^t(0\,,\ldots,0\,,\frac{1}{2}\,,0\,,\ldots,0) \\ {}^t(\frac{1}{2}\,,\ldots,\frac{1}{2}\,,0\,,0\,,\ldots,0) \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \le k \le g+1\,, \\ \eta_{2k} &= \begin{bmatrix} {}^t(0\,,\ldots,0\,,\frac{1}{2}\,,0\,,\ldots,0) \\ {}^t(\frac{1}{2}\,,\ldots,\frac{1}{2}\,,\frac{1}{2}\,,0\,,\ldots,0) \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \le k \le g\,, \end{aligned}$$

where the non-zero entry in the top row occurs in the $k$-th position. Then we put $\eta_S := \sum_{k \in S} \eta_k$ where the sum is taken modulo 1. We extend this definition to subsets $S$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2g+2\}$ by putting $\eta_S := \sum_{k \in S \atop k \ne 2g+2} \eta_k$. Put $U := \{1, 3, \ldots, 2g+1\}$ and let $\circ$ denote the symmetric difference.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \ge 2$ and fix an ordering $W_1, \ldots, W_{2g+2}$ of its Weierstrass points. Consider the identification of the set of classes of semi-canonical divisors with the set of analytic theta characteristics as above.*

*(i) The semi-canonical divisor $W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_{g-1}}$ corresponds to the characteristic $\eta_{T \circ U}$ where $T = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{g-1}\}$.*

*(ii) The semi-canonical divisor $W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W_{i_{g+1}}$ corresponds to the characteristic $\eta_{T \circ U}$ where $T = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{g+1}\}$.*

*(iii) We have $W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W_{i_{g+1}} \sim W_{i'_1} + \cdots + W_{i'_g} - W_{i'_{g+1}}$ if and only if $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{g+1}\} = \{i'_1, \ldots, i'_{g+1}\}$ or $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{g+1}\} \cup \{i'_1, \ldots, i'_{g+1}\} = \{1, \ldots, 2g+2\}$.*

*Proof.* See [21], Chapter IIIa, Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.2. □

It is not difficult to prove the following lemma, *cf.* [9], Section 6.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \ge 2$ and fix an ordering $W_1, \ldots, W_{2g+2}$ of its Weierstrass points. Consider the identification of the set of classes of semi-canonical divisors with the set of analytic theta characteristics as above. Let $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{2g+2}\}$ be a permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, 2g+2\}$. Denote by $\eta_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, g$ the analytic theta characteristic corresponding to the semi-canonical divisor $\sum_{l=1 \atop l \ne k}^{g} W_{i_l}$,* *and denote by* $\eta_k$ *for* $k = g+1, \ldots, 2g+2$ *the analytic theta characteristic corresponding to the semi-canonical divisor* $(\sum_{l=1}^{g} W_{i_l}) - W_{i_k}$*. Then the set* $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g, \eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\}$ *is a fundamental system of theta characteristics.*

It follows from Lemma 1.4 that the set $\mathcal{F}$ of fundamental systems that one gets by letting $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{2g+2}\}$ range over the permutations of $\{1, \ldots, 2g+2\}$, is independent of the chosen ordering of the Weierstrass points, and even independent of $X$. In fact, the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\binom{2g+2}{g}$ and an element $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g, \eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\} \in \mathcal{F}$ is determined by the set $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g\}$.

Considering Igusa's result, Guàrdia states in [9] then the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.6.** *(Guàrdia* [9]*) Let* $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g, \eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\}$ *be a fundamental system contained in* $\mathcal{F}$*, and let* $\tau$ *be a period matrix associated to a hyperelliptic Riemann surface. Then the formula*

$$(??) \quad J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau) = \pm \pi^g \prod_{k=g+1}^{2g+2} \vartheta[\eta_k](0;\tau)$$

*holds.*

The above conjecture follows from Igusa's result if it were not for the fact that we don't know whether $J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau)$, as a function of $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$, is contained in the ring of Thetanullwerte. We know that the conjecture is true for $g = 2$ by the Rosenhain relations and also for $g = 3$ by a result due to Frobenius [6], but in general the conjecture seems to be unknown. However, we are able to prove an easy consequence of Guàrdia's conjecture, mentioned by Guàrdia himself already in [9].

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be the set of subsets of* $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2g+1\}$ *of cardinality* $g+1$*, and let* $m := \binom{2g+2}{g}$*. Let* $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$ *be a period matrix associated to a canonical symplectic basis of the homology of a hyperelliptic Riemann surface. Then the formula*

$$\prod_{\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g, \eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\} \in \mathcal{F}} J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau) = \pm \pi^{gm} \prod_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \vartheta[\eta_{T \circ U}](0;\tau)^{2g+2}$$

*holds.*

If we take the product over all $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g, \eta_{g+1}, \ldots, \eta_{2g+2}\} \in \mathcal{F}$ in formula (??), we obtain the formula from Theorem 1.7.

We derive our theorem from a study of the Arakelov theory of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces. We start by recalling the main concepts from Arakelov theory, as well as some earlier results that we are going to use. Let $X$ be an arbitrary compact and connected Riemann surface of genus $g > 0$. In the fundamental papers [1] and [5] we find definitions of a canonical (1,1)-form $\mu$, an Arakelov-Green function $G : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, a normalised theta function $\|\vartheta\|$ on $\mathrm{Pic}_{g-1}(X)$, and a delta-invariant $\delta(X)$. Let $S(X)$ be the invariant defined by

$$\log S(X) := -\int_X \log \|\vartheta\|(gP-Q)\cdot \mu(P)\,,$$

where $Q$ can be any point of $X$. It can be checked that the integral is well-defined and is independent of the choice of $Q$. In our paper [13] we gave the following explicit formula for the Arakelov-Green function of $X$.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the classical divisor of Weierstrass points on $X$. For $P,Q$ points on $X$, with $P$ not a Weierstrass point, we have*

$$G(P,Q)^g = S(X)^{1/g^2}\cdot \frac{\|\vartheta\|(gP-Q)}{\prod_{W\in\mathcal{W}}\|\vartheta\|(gP-W)^{1/g^3}}\,.$$

*Here the product runs over the Weierstrass points of $X$, counted with their weights. The formula is also valid if $P$ is a Weierstrass point, provided that we take the leading coefficients of a power series expansion about $P$ in both numerator and denominator.*

In the same paper, we also gave an explicit formula for the delta-invariant of $X$. For $P$ on $X$, not a Weierstrass point, and $z$ a local coordinate about $P$, we put

$$\|F_z\|(P) := \lim_{Q\to P}\frac{\|\vartheta\|(gP-Q)}{|z(P)-z(Q)|^g}\,.$$

Further we let $W_z(\omega)(P)$ be the Wronskian at $P$ in $z$ of an orthonormal basis $\{\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_g\}$ of the differentials $H^0(X,\Omega^1_X)$ provided with the standard hermitian inner product $(\omega,\eta)\mapsto \frac{i}{2}\int_X \omega\wedge\overline{\eta}$. We define an invariant $T(X)$ of $X$ by

$$T(X) := \|F_z\|(P)^{-(g+1)}\cdot\prod_{W\in\mathcal{W}}\|\vartheta\|(gP-W)^{(g-1)/g^3}\cdot|W_z(\omega)(P)|^2\,,$$

where again the product runs over the Weierstrass points of $X$, counted with their weights. It can be checked that this does not depend on the choice of $P$, nor on the choice of local coordinate $z$ about $P$. A more intrinsic definition is possible, see [13], but we will not need this.

**Theorem 1.9.** *For Faltings' delta-invariant $\delta(X)$ of $X$, the formula*

$$\exp(\delta(X)/4) = S(X)^{-(g-1)/g^2}\cdot T(X)$$

*holds.*

In the sequel, we will work with a modified Arakelov-Green function defined as

$$G'(P,Q) := S(X)^{-1/g^3}\cdot G(P,Q)\,.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.8 that this function is a simple quotient of two factors involving only values of $\|\vartheta\|$. It is also visible from the definition that the invariant $T(X)$ is given in purely classical terms.

We will derive Theorem 1.7 from two theorems relating the modified Green function $G'$ to the invariant $T(X)$. For convenience, we introduce one more invariant.

**Definition 1.10.** (*Cf.* [17], Section 3.) Let again $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of subsets of $\{1,2,\ldots,2g+1\}$ of cardinality $g+1$. Write $U := \{1,3,\ldots,2g+1\}$ and let $\circ$ denote the symmetric difference. The modular discriminant $\varphi_g$ is defined to be the function

$$\varphi_g(\tau) := \prod_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \vartheta[\eta_{T \circ U}](0;\tau)^8$$

on $\mathcal{H}_g$ (compare with the right hand side of the formula in Theorem 1.7). The function $\varphi_g$ is a modular form on $\Gamma_g(2) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv I_{2g} \bmod 2\}$ of weight $4r$ where $r := \binom{2g+1}{g+1}$. Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $\tau$ be a period matrix for $X$ formed on a canonical symplectic basis, given by an ordering of the Weierstrass points of $X$. Then we write $\|\varphi_g\|(\tau)$ for the Petersson norm $(\det \mathrm{Im}\tau)^{2r} \cdot |\varphi_g(\tau)|$ of $\varphi_g(\tau)$. This does not depend on the choice of $\tau$ and hence it defines an invariant $\|\varphi_g\|(X)$ of $X$. This invariant is always non-zero, as we will see later (*cf.* Remark 4.7).

This is then our first main result.

**Theorem 1.11.** *Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, let $m := \binom{2g+2}{g}$ and let $n := \binom{2g}{g+1}$. We have*

$$\prod_{(W,W')} G'(W,W')^{n(g-1)} = \pi^{-2g(g+2)m} \cdot T(X)^{-(g+2)m} \cdot \|\varphi_g\|(X)^{-\frac{3}{2}(g+1)},$$

*the product running over all ordered pairs of distinct Weierstrass points of $X$.*

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.11 will be to construct a certain isomorphism of line bundles on the moduli stack $\mathcal{I}_g$ of hyperelliptic curves of genus $g$. Over the complex numbers, these line bundles carry certain hermitian metrics. We then obtain the theorem by computing the norm of corresponding sections in both line bundles. It turns out that the structure of the Weierstrass locus of hyperelliptic curves in characteristic 2 is of crucial importance in the proof of the theorem, whereas the theorem is just a statement about certain Riemann surfaces. This illustrates very well the idea of Arakelov theory that the reductions of a curve to various characteristics 'influence' each other via the product formula. After some preliminaries in Sections 2 to 4 we give the proof of Theorem 1.11 in Section 5.

Our second main result looks like the first, except for the fact that now also the Jacobian Nullwerte come in. Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and fix an ordering $W_1,\ldots,W_{2g+2}$ of its Weierstrass points. Take a subset $W_{i_1},\ldots,W_{i_g}$ of $g$ Weierstrass points, and for $k = 1,\ldots,g$ let $\eta_k$ be the odd analytic theta characteristic corresponding, as in Lemma 1.4, to the divisor $\sum_{l=1, l \neq k}^{g} W_{i_l}$. We normalise the Jacobian

Nullwert in $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g$ by putting $\|J\|(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g}) := (\det \mathrm{Im}\tau)^{(g+2)/4} |J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau)|$, where $\tau$ is a period matrix associated to the canonical symplectic basis of homology given by our ordering of the Weierstrass points. It may be checked that this definition is independent of the choice of canonical symplectic basis. The function $\|J\|$ is a special case of the $\|J\|$ defined in [8].

**Theorem 1.12.** *We have*

$$\prod_{(W,W')} G'(W,W')^{n(g-1)} =$$
$$= T(X)^{-(g+2)m} \cdot \|\varphi_g\|(X)^{(g^2-1)/2} \cdot \prod_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_g\}} \|J\|(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g})^{-(2g+4)} \,,$$

*the first product running over all ordered pairs of distinct Weierstrass points of $X$, the second product running over the set of subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 2g+2\}$ of cardinality $g$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.12 is not so involved and follows from Theorem 1.9 and a fundamental result in [8] concerning the function $\|J\|$. We will turn to the proof of Theorem 1.12 in Section 6.

To conclude this introduction, we show how to obtain Theorem 1.7 from Theorem 1.11 and Theorem 1.12.

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and fix an ordering $W_1, \ldots, W_{2g+2}$ of its Weierstrass points. A comparison of Theorem 1.11 with Theorem 1.12 immediately yields that

$$\prod_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_g\}} \|J\|(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g})^4 = \pi^{4gm} \|\varphi_g\|(X)^{g+1} \,,$$

where the product runs over the subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 2g+2\}$ of cardinality $g$. Dividing left and right by the appropriate power of $\det \mathrm{Im}\tau$ and using the definitions of $\|J\|$ and $\mathcal{F}$ we find that

$$\prod_{\{\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_g,\eta_{g+1},\ldots,\eta_{2g+2}\}\in\mathcal{F}} |J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau)|^4 = \pi^{4gm} |\varphi_g(\tau)|^{g+1} \,,$$

where $\tau$ is a period matrix associated to a canonical symplectic basis of the homology of $X$. Taking 4th roots and applying the maximum principle we find

$$\prod_{\{\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_g,\eta_{g+1},\ldots,\eta_{2g+2}\}\in\mathcal{F}} J(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_g)(\tau) = \varepsilon \pi^{gm} \prod_{T\in\mathcal{T}} \vartheta[\eta_{T\circ U}](0;\tau)^{2g+2}$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_g$ associated to a canonical symplectic basis of the homology of a hyperelliptic Riemann surface, where $\varepsilon$ is a complex constant of modulus 1. We find the right value of $\varepsilon$ by considering Fourier expansions on the left and the right as in [11], pp. 86–88. $\square$

## 2. Hyperelliptic curves

We will first focus on Theorem 1.11. It turns out that we need to consider hyperelliptic curves over an arbitrary locally Noetherian base scheme. The basic reference for this section is [18].

**Definition 2.1.** Let $B$ be a locally Noetherian scheme, and let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. We call such a curve hyperelliptic if there exists an involution $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_B(\mathcal{X})$ such that for every geometric point $\overline{b}$ of $B$, the quotient $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{b}}/\langle\sigma\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\kappa(\overline{b})}$. For a hyperelliptic curve $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$, the involution $\sigma$ is uniquely determined. This is well-known for $B = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ with $k$ an algebraically closed field, and the general case follows from this by the fact that $\mathrm{Aut}_B(\mathcal{X})$ is unramified over $B$ (*cf.* [3], Theorem 1.11). We call $\sigma$ the hyperelliptic involution of $\mathcal{X}$.

**Definition 2.2.** By a twisted $\mathbb{P}^1_B$ we mean a smooth, projective curve of genus 0 over $B$. By [18], Corollary 3.4, if $q : \mathcal{Y} \to B$ is a twisted $\mathbb{P}^1_B$, there exists an étale surjective morphism $B' \to B$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{B'} \cong \mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$.

A hyperelliptic curve $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ carries a relative dualising sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. We will sometimes leave out the subscript $\mathcal{X}/B$ if the context is clear.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $\sigma$ be the hyperelliptic involution of $\mathcal{X}$. The following properties hold: (i) the quotient map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}/\langle\sigma\rangle$ is a finite, faithfully flat $B$-morphism $h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ of degree 2, where $\mathcal{Y} \to B$ is a twisted $\mathbb{P}^1_B$; (ii) after an étale surjective base change $B' \to B$ we obtain from this a finite, faithfully flat $B'$-morphism $h : \mathcal{X}_{B'} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{B'}$ of degree 2; (iii) the image $\mathcal{Y}'$ of the canonical morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}(p_*\omega_{\mathcal{X}/B})$ is a twisted $\mathbb{P}^1_B$, and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change; (iv) there exists a closed embedding $j : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}(p_*\omega_{\mathcal{X}/B})$ such that $\pi = j \cdot h$. After a faithfully flat base change $B' \to B$, the embedding $j$ is isomorphic to the Veronese morphism $\mathbb{P}^1_{B'} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}_{B'}$.*

*Proof.* See [18], Theorem 5.5, Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7, and Remark 5.11. □

## 3. Canonical isomorphisms

In this section we construct a canonical isomorphism on the base which involves the relative dualising sheaf and the Weierstrass subscheme of a hyperelliptic curve $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$. We make use of the Deligne bracket (see [4]): this is a rule, compatible with base change, that assigns to a pair $L, M$ of line bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ a line bundle $\langle L, M\rangle$ on $B$. The following properties hold: (i) we have canonical isomorphisms $\langle L_1 \otimes L_2, M\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle L_1, M\rangle \otimes \langle L_2, M\rangle$; $\langle L, M_1 \otimes M_2\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle L, M_1\rangle \otimes \langle L, M_2\rangle$ and $\langle L, M\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle M, L\rangle$; (ii) for a section $P : B \to \mathcal{X}$ we have a canonical isomorphism $\langle O_{\mathcal{X}}(P), M\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} P^*M$; (iii) (adjunction formula) for a section $P : B \to \mathcal{X}$ we have a canonical isomorphism $\langle P, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle P, P\rangle^{\otimes -1}$; (iv) if $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ and $L, M$ are admissible line bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ in the sense of Arakelov [1], then $\langle L, M\rangle$ has a canonical structure of metrised line bundle (or metrised 1-dimensional vector space) on $B$, in such a way that all isomorphisms (i)–(iii) become isometries. We refer to these metrics as the canonical Arakelov-Faltings metrics on the Deligne bracket.

Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 are modelled on [2], Proposition 1 and Proposition 2, respectively.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $\sigma$ be the hyperelliptic involution of $\mathcal{X}$. For any $\sigma$-invariant section $P : B \to \mathcal{X}$ of $p$ we have a unique isomorphism*

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}/B} \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)P) \otimes p^* \langle P, P\rangle^{\otimes -(2g-1)}$$

*which induces by pulling back along $P$ the adjunction isomorphism $\langle P, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle P, P\rangle^{\otimes -1}$. Its formation commutes with arbitrary base change. In the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, this isomorphism is an isometry if one endows both members with their canonical Faltings-Arakelov metrics.*

*Proof.* First of all, let $P$ be *any* section of $p$. Let $h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be the morphism from Proposition 2.3(i) with $\mathcal{Y}$ a twisted $\mathbb{P}^1_B$ with structure morphism $q : \mathcal{Y} \to B$. By composing $P$ with $h$ we obtain a section $Q$ of $q$, and hence we can write $\mathcal{Y} \cong \mathbb{P}(V)$ for some locally free sheaf $V$ of rank 2 on $B$ (*cf.* [18], Proposition 3.3). On the other hand, consider the canonical morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}(p_*\omega)$. We have a natural isomorphism $\omega \cong \pi^*(O_{\mathbb{P}(p_*\omega)}(1))$. Let $j : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{P}(p_*\omega)$ be the closed embedding given by Proposition 2.3(iv). By that same proposition, and by using a faithfully flat descent argument, we have a natural isomorphism $j^*(O_{\mathbb{P}(p_*\omega)}(1)) \cong O_{\mathbb{P}(V)}((g-1))$. By [7], II.4.2.7 there is a unique line bundle $L$ on $B$ such that $O_{\mathbb{P}(V)}((g-1)) \cong O_{\mathbb{P}(V)}((g-1)\cdot Q) \otimes q^*L$. By pulling back along $h$, we find a natural isomorphism $\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{\mathcal{X}}((g-1)\cdot(P+\sigma(P))) \otimes p^*L$. In the special case where $P$ is $\sigma$-invariant, this can be written as a natural isomorphism $\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)P) \otimes p^*L$. Pulling back along $P$ we find that $L \cong \langle \omega, P\rangle \otimes \langle P, P\rangle^{\otimes -(2g-2)}$ and with the adjunction formula $\langle P, P\rangle \cong \langle -P, \omega\rangle$ then finally $L \cong \langle P, P\rangle^{\otimes -(2g-1)}$. It is now clear that we have an isomorphism $\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)P) \otimes p^*\langle P, P\rangle^{\otimes -(2g-1)}$ which induces by pulling back along $P$ an isomorphism $\langle P, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle P, P\rangle^{\otimes -1}$. Possibly after multiplying with a (unique) global section of $O_B^*$, we can establish that the latter isomorphism be the canonical adjunction isomorphism. The uniqueness statement in the proposition follows then from the fact that $p_*O_{\mathcal{X}} = O_B$. The commutativity with base change is also clear from the general base change properties of the relative dualising sheaf and the Deligne bracket. Turning now to the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, note that since both members of the isomorphism have admissible metrics with the same curvature form, the isomorphism must multiply the

Arakelov metrics by a constant on $\mathcal{X}$. Since the adjunction isomorphism is an isometry, the isomorphism is an isometry at $P$, hence everywhere. ☐

From Lemma 3.1 we deduce the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. For any two $\sigma$-invariant sections $P, Q$ of $p$ we have a canonical isomorphism of line bundles on $B$*

$$\langle \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle P, Q \rangle^{\otimes -4g(g-1)} \, ,$$

*and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change. In the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, this isomorphism is an isometry if one endows both members with their canonical Faltings metrics.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, we have canonical isomorphisms $\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)P) \otimes p^* \langle P, P \rangle^{\otimes -(2g-1)}$ and $\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)Q) \otimes p^* \langle Q, Q \rangle^{\otimes -(2g-1)}$. It follows that $O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)(P-Q))$ comes from the base, and hence $\langle (2g-2)(P-Q), P-Q \rangle$ is canonically trivial on $B$. Expanding, this gives a canonical isomorphism $\langle P, P \rangle^{\otimes 2g-2} \otimes \langle Q, Q \rangle^{\otimes 2g-2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle P, Q \rangle^{\otimes 2(2g-2)}$ of line bundles on $B$. Expanding next the right hand member of the canonical isomorphism $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)P) \otimes p^* \langle P, P \rangle^{\otimes -(2g-1)}, O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)Q) \otimes p^* \langle Q, Q \rangle^{\otimes -(2g-1)} \rangle$ gives then the result. The commutativity with base change is also clear. Finally it is readily verified that all isomorphisms here become isometries when restricted to $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. Indeed, the Arakelov metric on $O_{\mathcal{X}}((2g-2)(P-Q))$ becomes a constant metric when one trivialises it; as a consequence the metric on $\langle (2g-2)(P-Q), P-Q \rangle$ becomes the trivial metric. That the other isomorphisms are isometries follows from Lemma 3.1. ☐

**Definition 3.3.** Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve with hyperelliptic involution $\sigma$. Then we call Weierstrass subscheme of $\mathcal{X}$ the fixed point subscheme of $\mathcal{X}$ under the action of $\langle \sigma \rangle$. It is denoted by $W_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. We recall at this point that in general locally, on an affine scheme with ring $R$, the fixed point scheme for the action of a finite group $G$ is defined by the ideal $I_G$ of $R$ generated by the set $\{ r - g(r) | r \in R, g \in G \}$.

**Proposition 3.4.** *The following properties hold: the Weierstrass subscheme $W_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is the subscheme associated to an effective Cartier divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ relative to $B$. It is finite and flat over $B$ of degree $2g+2$, and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change. The Weierstrass subscheme $W_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ is étale over a point $b \in B$ if and only if the residue characteristic of $b$ is not 2. After a faithfully flat base change, the Weil divisor given by the Weierstrass subscheme $W_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ can be written as a sum $W_1 + \cdots + W_{2g+2}$ of (not necessarily distinct) sections of $p$.*

*Proof.* See [18], Proposition 6.3, Proposition 6.5, Corollary 6.8 and Theorem 7.3. ☐

We call Weierstrass divisor the Weil divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ given by the Weierstrass subscheme; we will also denote it by $W_{\mathcal{X}/B}$, and no confusion is to be expected here. We will sometimes leave out the subscript $\mathcal{X}/B$ if the context is clear.

*Example* 3.5. Consider the genus 2 curve $p : \mathcal{X} \to B := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/5])$ given by the affine equation $y^2+x^3y = x$. One checks that it has good reduction everywhere, hence $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ is a hyperelliptic curve according to our definition. Over the ring $R' := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_5, \sqrt[5]{2}]$ it acquires six $\sigma$-invariant sections $W_0, \ldots, W_5$ where $W_0$ is given by $x = 0$ and $W_k$ is given by $x = -\zeta_5^k \sqrt[5]{4}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, 5$. One can check by hand that these $\sigma$-invariant sections do not meet over points of residue characteristic $\neq 2$, so that indeed the Weierstrass subscheme $W$ is étale over such points. Over a prime of characteristic 2, all $\sigma$-invariant sections meet in one point $W_0$ given in coordinates by $x = y = 0$. The degree 2 quotient map $h : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \to \mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_2}/\langle\sigma\rangle \cong \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ is ramified only in this point $W_0$.

*Remark* 3.6. In general, if $B$ is the spectrum of a field of characteristic 2, then $h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}/\langle\sigma\rangle$ ramifies in at most $g + 1$ distinct points (*cf.* [18], Remark on p. 104).

In the following proposition we relate the relative dualising sheaf and the Weierstrass subscheme by a canonical isomorphism of line bundles on the base.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism of line bundles*

$$\nu : \langle \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B} \rangle^{\otimes (2g+2)(2g+1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle W_{\mathcal{X}/B}, W_{\mathcal{X}/B} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B} \rangle^{\otimes -4g(g-1)} ,$$

*whose formation commutes with arbitrary base change, and which is an isometry in the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.4, after a faithfully flat base change we can write $W$ as a sum of sections $W = W_1+\cdots+W_{2g+2}$. By the adjunction formula for the Deligne bracket we then have a canonical isomorphism $\langle W, W \otimes \omega\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{i\neq j} \langle W_i, W_j \rangle$, which is an isometry in the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.2 we have $\langle W_i, W_j \rangle^{\otimes -4g(g-1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \omega, \omega\rangle$ for each $i \neq j$, which is again an isometry in the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. The general case follows by faithfully flat descent. □

## 4. Canonical sections

The purpose of this section is to prove the following two propositions.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let $B$ be a regular scheme and let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then the line bundle $(\det p_*\omega_{\mathcal{X}/B})^{\otimes 8g+4}$ has a canonical trivialising global section $\Lambda_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. In the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, let $n := \binom{2g}{g+1}$, let $r := \binom{2g+1}{g+1}$ and let $\|\Delta_g\|(X) := 2^{-(4g+4)n}\|\varphi_g\|(X)$ where $X$ is the Riemann surface $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$. Then for the norm $\|\Lambda\|$ of $\Lambda$ the formula $\|\Lambda\|^n = (2\pi)^{4g^2r}\|\Delta_g\|(X)^g$ holds.*

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let $B$ be an irreducible regular scheme with generic characteristic $\neq 2$, and let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then the line bundle $\langle W_{\mathcal{X}/B}, W_{\mathcal{X}/B} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B} \rangle$ has a canonical trivialising global section $\Xi_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. In the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, the norm $\|\Xi\|$ of $\Xi$ satisfies $\|\Xi\| = 2^{-(2g+2)} \prod_{(W,W')} G(W,W')$, the product running over all ordered pairs of Weierstrass points of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$.*

For the proofs we need three lemmas. At this point we follow [14], Section 6 rather closely. Let $B = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ with $R$ a discrete valuation ring with quotient field $K$ and residue field $k$. Assume that $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 2$. The quotient map $R \to k$ is denoted, as usual, by a bar $\bar{\ }$. Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$.

**Lemma 4.3.** *After a finite étale surjective base change with a discrete valuation ring $R'$ dominating $R$, there exists an open affine subscheme $U \cong \mathrm{Spec}(E)$ of $\mathcal{X}'$ with $E = A[y]/(y^2 + ay + b)$, where $A = R'[x]$ and $a, b \in A$, such that $f := a^2 - 4b \in K'[x]$ is separable of degree $2g+2$ and such that $\deg a \leq g+1$ and $\deg b \leq 2g+2$. For the reduced polynomials $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in k'[x]$ we have $\deg \overline{a} = g+1$ or $\deg \overline{b} \geq 2g+1$.*

*Proof.* After a finite étale surjective base change with a discrete valuation ring $R'$ dominating $R$, we have by Proposition 2.3 a finite faithfully flat $R'$-morphism $h' : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$ of degree two. Choose a point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_{K'}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{K'} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{K'}$ is unramified above $\infty$, and let $x$ be a coordinate on $V = \mathbb{P}^1_{K'} - \infty$. We can then describe $U := h'^{-1}(V)$ as $U \cong \mathrm{Spec}(E)$ with $E = A[y]/(y^2 + ay + b)$ where $A = R'[x]$ and $a, b \in A$. Moreover, if we assume the degree of $a$ to be minimal, we have $\deg a \leq g+1$ and $\deg b \leq 2g+2$. Next let us consider the degree of $f$. By Proposition 3.4, the Weierstrass subscheme $W_{\mathcal{X}'/B'}$ is finite and flat over $B'$ of degree $2g+2$. By definition, the ideal of $W_{\mathcal{X}'/B'}$ is generated by $y - \sigma(y) = 2y + a$ on $U$. Note that $(2y+a)^2 = a^2 - 4b = f$, which defines the norm under $h'$ of $W_{\mathcal{X}'/B'}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$. Since this norm is also finite and flat of degree $2g+2$ over $B'$, and since $W_{\mathcal{X}'/B'}$ is entirely supported in $U$ by our choice of $\infty$, we obtain that $\deg(f) = 2g+2$. Since the norm of $W_{\mathcal{X}'/B'}$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_{R'}$ is étale over $K'$ by Proposition 3.4, the polynomial $f \in K'[x]$ is separable. Consider finally the reduced polynomials $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in k'[x]$. Regarding $y$ as an element of $k'(X_{k'})$, we have $\mathrm{div}(y) \geq -\min(\deg \overline{a}, \frac{1}{2} \deg \overline{b}) \cdot h'^*(\overline{\infty})$ by the equation for $y$. On the other hand it follows from Riemann-Roch that $y$ has a pole at both points of $h'^*(\overline{\infty})$ of order strictly larger than $g$. This gives then the last statement of the lemma. □

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose we have an open affine subscheme $U \cong \mathrm{Spec}(E)$ on $\mathcal{X}$ as in Lemma 4.3. Then the differentials $x^i dx/(2y+a)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, g-1$ are nowhere vanishing on $U$ and extend to regular global sections of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/B}$.*

*Proof.* Let $F$ be the polynomial $y^2 + ay + b \in A[y]$, and let $F_x$ and $F_y$ be its derivatives with respect to $x$ and $y$, respectively. It is readily verified that the morphism $\Omega_{E/R} =$

$(Edx + Edy)/(F_x dx + F_y dy) \to E$ given by $dx \mapsto F_y, dy \mapsto -F_x$, is an isomorphism of $E$-modules. This gives that the differentials $x^i dx/(2y+a)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, g-1$ are nowhere vanishing on $U$. For the second part of the lemma, it suffices to show that the differentials $x^i dx/(2y+a)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, g-1$ on the generic fiber $U_K$ extend to global sections of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_K/K}$—but this is well-known to be true. $\square$

Suppose that a polynomial $f \in K[x]$ of degree $d$ factors over an extension of $K$ as $f = H \prod_{i=1}^{d} (x - \alpha_i)$. Then its discriminant $D(f)$ is by definition given as $D(f) := H^{2d-2} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$. This element lies in $R$ if the coefficients of $f$ lie in $R$.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose we have an open affine subscheme $U \cong \operatorname{Spec}(E)$ on $\mathcal{X}$ as in Lemma 4.3. Then the modified discriminant $\Delta(f) := 2^{-(4g+4)} \cdot D(f)$ is a unit of $R$.*

*Proof.* In the case that the characteristic of $k$ is $\neq 2$, this is not hard to see: we know that $W_{\mathcal{X}_k/k}$ is étale of degree $2g+2$ by Proposition 3.4, and hence $f$ remains separable of degree $2g+2$ in $k[x]$ under the reduction map. So let us assume from now on that the characteristic of $k$ equals 2. If $C$ is any ring, and if $P(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_i T^i$ and $Q(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i T^i$ are two polynomials in $C[T]$, we denote by $R_T^{n,m}(P,Q) \in C$ the resultant of $P$ and $Q$. Recall the following property of the resultant: suppose that at least one of $u_n, v_m$ is non-zero, and that $C$ is a field. Then $R_T^{n,m}(P,Q) = 0$ if and only if $P$ and $Q$ have a root in common in an extension field of $C$. Let $F$ be the polynomial $y^2 + a(x)y + b(x)$ in $A[y]$ with $A = R[x]$, and let $F_x$ and $F_y$ be its derivatives with respect to $x$ and $y$, respectively. We set $Q := R_y^{2,1}(F, F_x)$ and $P := R_y^{2,1}(F, F_y) = 4b - a^2 = -f$. Let $H \in R$ be the leading coefficient of $P$, and abbreviate the modified discriminant $\Delta(f)$ of $f$ by $\Delta$. A calculation (*cf.* [17], Section 1) shows that $R_x^{2g+2,4g+2}(P,Q) = (H \cdot \Delta)^2$. We should read this equation as a formal identity between certain universal polynomials in the coefficients of $a(x)$ and $b(x)$. Doing so, we may conclude that $\Delta \in R$ and that $H^2$ divides $R_x^{2g+2,4g+2}(P,Q)$ in $R$. To finish the argument, we distinguish two cases. First assume that $\overline{H} \neq 0$. Then $\deg \overline{P} = 2g+2$ and again a calculation shows that $R_x^{2g+2,4g+2}(\overline{P}, \overline{Q}) = (\overline{H} \cdot \overline{\Delta})^2$. The fact that $X_k$ is smooth implies that $R_x^{2g+2,4g+2}(\overline{P}, \overline{Q})$ is non-zero, and altogether we obtain that $\overline{\Delta}$ is non-zero. Next assume that $\overline{H} = 0$. Then since $\overline{P} = \overline{a}^2$ we obtain that $\deg \overline{a} \leq g$ and hence $\deg \overline{P} \leq 2g$. By Lemma 4.3 we have then $2g+1 \leq \deg \overline{b} \leq 2g+2$. But then from $2\deg(y) = \deg(\overline{a}y + \overline{b})$ and $\deg(y) > g$ (*cf.* the proof of Lemma 4.3) it follows that in fact $\deg \overline{b} = 2g+2$ and hence $\deg \frac{d\overline{b}}{dx} = 2g$ since we are in characteristic 2. This implies that $\deg \overline{Q} = 4g$. A calculation shows that $R_x^{2g,4g}(\overline{P}, \overline{Q}) = \overline{\Delta}^2$. Again by smoothness of $X_k$ we may conclude that $R_x^{2g,4g}(\overline{P}, \overline{Q})$ is non-zero. This finishes the proof. $\square$

*Example* 4.6. Consider once more the curve over $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/5]$ given in Example 3.5 above. In the notation from Lemma 4.3, we have $a = x^3, b = -x$. We can compute $D(a^2 - 4b) = D(x^6 + 4x) = 2^{12} 5^5$ so that $\Delta(f) = 5^5$ which is indeed a unit in $R$.

We can now prove Propositions 4.1 and 4.2.

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* Possibly after a faithfully flat base change we may assume, by Proposition 2.3, that $p$ is a morphism $p : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_B$. The scheme $\mathcal{X}$ is covered by affine schemes $U \cong \mathrm{Spec}(E)$ with $E = A[y]/(y^2 + ay + b)$ and $A$ a polynomial ring $R[x]$. For such an affine scheme $U$, consider $V := \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. In the line bundle $(\det p_* \omega_{U/V})^{\otimes 8g+4}$ we have a rational section

$$\Lambda_{U/V} := \Delta(f)^g \cdot \left( \frac{dx}{2y+a} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{2y+a} \right)^{\otimes 8g+4} ,$$

where $\Delta(f)$ is as in Lemma 4.5. One can check that this element does not depend on any choice of affine equation $y^2 + ay + b$ for $U$, and moreover these sections coincide on overlaps. Hence they build a canonical rational section $\Lambda_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ of $(\det p_* \omega_{\mathcal{X}/B})^{\otimes 8g+4}$. By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, this is a global trivialising section. The general case follows by faithfully flat descent. Now consider the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. In that case, we can make a change of coordinates $y' := 2y + a$, $x' := x$ so that we may write $y'^2 = f(x')$ as an equation for $\mathcal{X}$, with $f := a^2 - 4b$. We may then write

$$\Lambda = \left( 2^{-(4g+4)} \cdot D \right)^g \left( \frac{dx'}{y'} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{x'^{g-1}dx'}{y'} \right)^{\otimes 8g+4} ,$$

where $D$ is the discriminant of $f$. Recall that we have a canonical isomorphism $j : \det H^0(\mathcal{X}, \omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det H^0(\mathrm{Jac}(\mathcal{X}), \omega)$. Consider the Riemann surface $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$. Using the differentials $\mu_1 = dx'/2y', \ldots, \mu_g = x'^{g-1}dx'/2y'$ corresponding to the equation $y' = f(x')$ and a canonical basis of the homology of $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$, we can form a period matrix $(\mu|\mu')$ and the associated matrix $\tau = \mu^{-1}\mu'$ in the Siegel upper half space. According to [17], Proposition 3.2 we have the formula $D^n = \pi^{4gr} (\det \mu)^{-4r} \varphi_g(\tau)$. We put $\Delta_g := 2^{-(4g+4)n} \cdot \varphi_g$. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_g$ be the standard euclidean coordinates on $\mathrm{Jac}(X) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g + \tau \mathbb{Z}^g$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} j^{\otimes(8g+4)n}(\Lambda^{\otimes n}) &= j^{\otimes(8g+4)n} \left( \left( 2^{-(4g+4)} \cdot D \right)^{gn} \left( \frac{dx'}{y'} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{x'^{g-1}dx'}{y'} \right)^{\otimes(8g+4)n} \right) \\ &= j^{\otimes(8g+4)n} \left( 2^{-(4g+4)gn} \pi^{4g^2 r} (\det \mu)^{-4gr} \varphi_g(\tau)^g \left( \frac{dx'}{y'} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{x'^{g-1}dx'}{y'} \right)^{\otimes(8g+4)n} \right) \\ &= j^{\otimes(8g+4)n} \left( (2\pi)^{4g^2 r} (\det \mu)^{-4gr} \Delta_g(\tau)^g \left( \frac{dx'}{2y'} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{x'^{g-1}dx'}{2y'} \right)^{\otimes(8g+4)n} \right) \\ &= (2\pi)^{4g^2 r} \Delta_g(\tau)^g (dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_g)^{\otimes(8g+4)n} . \end{aligned}$$

The claim on the norm of $\Lambda$ follows since $\|dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_g\| = \sqrt{\det \mathrm{Im}\tau}$. $\square$

*Remark* 4.7. It follows from the above proof that $\|\varphi_g\|(X) \neq 0$ for a hyperelliptic Riemann surface $X$.

*Proof of Proposition 4.2.* By Propositions 2.3 and 3.4 we can assume, possibly after a faithfully flat base change, that the image of the canonical map $h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}/\langle\sigma\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_B$, and that $W$ is a sum of sections $W = W_1 + \cdots + W_{2g+2}$. The latter gives by the adjunction formula for the Deligne bracket a canonical isomorphism $\langle W, W \otimes \omega\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{i\neq j}\langle W_i, W_j\rangle$. The latter line bundle contains a canonical rational section $\Xi' := \bigotimes_{i\neq j}\langle \mathbf{1}_{W_i}, \mathbf{1}_{W_j}\rangle$. We claim that $\Xi := 2^{-(2g+2)} \cdot \Xi'$ is a trivialising global section. The first statement of the proposition follows then by faithfully flat descent. In order to prove the claim, we assume that $B = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ with $R$ a discrete valuation ring with $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 2$. Also we assume that its discrete valuation $v$ is normalised in the sense that $v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$. Then the valuation $v(\Xi')$ of $\Xi'$ at the closed point $b$ of $B$ is given by the sum $\sum_{i\neq j}(W_i, W_j)$ of the local intersection multiplicities $(W_i, W_j)$ above $b$ of pairs of $\sigma$-invariant sections. Suppose that $W_i$ is given by a polynomial $x - \alpha_i$, and write $\alpha_i$ as a shorthand for the corresponding section of $\mathbb{P}^1_R$. By the projection formula (*cf.* [16], Theorem 9.2.12) we have for the local intersection multiplicities that $4(W_i, W_j) = (2W_i, 2W_j) = (h^*\alpha_i, h^*\alpha_j) = 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ for each $i \neq j$ hence $(W_i, W_j) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ for each $i \neq j$. Now the local intersection multiplicity $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_R$ above $b$ is calculated to be $v(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$. This gives that $v(\Xi') = \sum_{i\neq j}(W_i, W_j) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} v(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) = \frac{1}{2}v(D(f))$. By Lemma 4.5 we have $v(D(f)) = (4g+4)v(2)$ hence if we define $\Xi := 2^{-(2g+2)} \cdot \Xi'$ we obtain a section with $v(\Xi) = 0$, which is what we wanted. Turning finally to the case $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, we see, since the adjunction isomorphism is an isometry, that $\|\Xi\| = 2^{-(2g+2)}\prod_{i\neq j}\|\langle \mathbf{1}_{W_i}, \mathbf{1}_{W_j}\rangle\|$. The required formula follows. ☐

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.11

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.11. We will work on the universal hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$. To be precise, let $\mathcal{I}_g$ be the category with objects the hyperelliptic curves $p : \mathcal{X} \to B$ of genus $g$, and morphisms given by cartesian diagrams. Then $\mathcal{I}_g$ is an algebraic stack in the sense of Deligne-Mumford [3], and according to [15], Theorem 3, the stack $\mathcal{I}_g$ is a smooth, closed substack of dimension $2g-1$ of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_g$ of smooth curves of genus $g$. We denote the universal family on $\mathcal{I}_g$ by $\mathcal{U}_g$.

The idea of the proof will be to apply our results from the previous section to the universal map $p : \mathcal{U}_g \to \mathcal{I}_g$, in order to obtain a canonical isomorphism of line bundles on $\mathcal{I}_g$. We obtain the theorem by comparing the norms of corresponding sections in these line bundles. We will need one more lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have that* $H^0(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}) = \{-1, +1\}$.

*Proof.* It suffices to see that $H^0(\mathcal{I}_g \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}) = \mathbb{C}^*$ for then the lemma follows since $\mathcal{I}_g \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is smooth and surjective. We can describe $\mathcal{I}_g \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as the space of $(2g+2)$-tuples of distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ modulo projective equivalence, that is we can write $\mathcal{I}_g \otimes \mathbb{C} =$

$((\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\})^{2g-1} - \Delta)/S_{2g+2}$ (in the orbifold sense) where $\Delta$ denotes the fat diagonal and where $S_{2g+2}$ is the symmetric group acting by permutation on $2g+2$ points on $\mathbb{P}^1$. According to [10], the first homology of $(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\})^{2g-1} - \Delta$ is isomorphic to the irreducible representation of $S_{2g+2}$ corresponding to the partition $\{2g, 2\}$ of $2g+2$, in particular it does not contain a trivial representation of $S_{2g+2}$. This proves that $H_1(\mathcal{I}_g \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial, and hence $H^0(\mathcal{I}_g \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}) = \mathbb{C}^*$. $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let $p : \mathcal{U}_g \to \mathcal{I}_g$ be the universal hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\psi : (\det p_*\omega)^{\otimes 12(8g+4)(2g+2)(2g+1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle W, W \otimes \omega \rangle^{-\otimes 4(8g+4)g(g-1)}$$

*of line bundles on $\mathcal{I}_g$. This isomorphism has the property that*

$$\psi(\Lambda^{\otimes 12(2g+2)(2g+1)}) = \pm \Xi^{-\otimes 4(8g+4)g(g-1)} .$$

*Over the complex numbers, the norm of $\psi$ is equal to $\left((2\pi)^{-4g}\exp(\delta)\right)^{(8g+4)(2g+2)(2g+1)}$.*

*Proof.* We have on $\mathcal{I}_g$ a canonical isomorphism $\mu : (\det p_*\omega)^{\otimes 12} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ due to Mumford (*cf.* [19], [20]). Further, by Proposition 3.7 we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\nu : \langle \omega, \omega \rangle^{\otimes (2g+2)(2g+1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle W, W \otimes \omega \rangle^{\otimes -4g(g-1)} ,$$

which is an isometry over the complex numbers. Combining, we obtain a canonical isomorphism $\psi$ as required. According to [19], Théorème 2.2, the Mumford isomorphism $\mu$ has norm $(2\pi)^{-4g}\exp(\delta)$ over the complex numbers. This gives then the statement on the norm of $\psi$. Now let us consider the canonical sections $\Lambda$ and $\Xi$ of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2. Since $\mathcal{I}_g$ is smooth, these are trivialising global sections. We conclude that $\psi(\Lambda^{\otimes 12(2g+2)(2g+1)}) = \Xi^{-\otimes 4(8g+4)g(g-1)}$ only up to an element of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}})$. However, we know by Lemma 5.1 that the latter group is just $\{-1, +1\}$. $\square$

We can now give the proof of Theorem 1.11.

*Proof of Theorem 1.11.* Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ be the complex hyperelliptic curve such that $X = \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$. By taking norms on both sides of the isomorphism in Proposition 5.2 we arrive at the following fundamental formula:

$$\left((2\pi)^{-4g}\exp(\delta(X))\right)^{(8g+4)(2g+2)(2g+1)} \cdot \|\Lambda\|(B)^{12(2g+2)(2g+1)} = \|\Xi\|(B)^{-4g(g-1)(8g+4)} .$$

Now let us see what we have for the individual terms from this formula. First, by Proposition 4.1 we have $\|\Lambda\|(B)^n = (2\pi)^{4g^2 r}\|\Delta_g\|(X)^g$, where $\|\Delta_g\|(X) = 2^{-(4g+4)n} \cdot \|\varphi_g\|(X)$. Second, by Proposition 4.2 and the definition of $G'$ we have

$$\|\Xi\|(B) = 2^{-(2g+2)} \prod_{(W,W')} G(W,W') = 2^{-(2g+2)} S(X)^{(2g+2)(2g+1)/g^3} \prod_{(W,W')} G'(W,W') ,$$

the product running over all ordered pairs of distinct Weierstrass points. Finally we have by Theorem 1.9 that $\exp(\delta(X)/4) = S(X)^{-(g-1)/g^2} \cdot T(X)$. We find the theorem by plugging in these results. $\square$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.12

In order to prove Theorem 1.12 we take a fixed hyperelliptic Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \geq 2$, marked with an ordering $W_1, \ldots, W_{2g+2}$ of its Weierstrass points. Associated to these data we take an equation $y^2 = f(x)$ putting $W_{2g+2}$ at infinity; we have then a canonical symplectic basis of homology, an associated period matrix $(\mu|\mu')$, a matrix $\tau = \mu^{-1}\mu'$ and an identification $\mathrm{Pic}_{g-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^g/\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau\mathbb{Z}^g$ as explained in the Introduction. In particular, Lemma 1.4 applies.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The formula*

$$\|\varphi_g\|(X) = \prod_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_{g+1}\}} \|\vartheta\|(W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W_{i_{g+1}})^4$$

*holds. Here the product runs over the subsets of* $\{1, \ldots, 2g+2\}$ *of cardinality* $g+1$.

*Proof.* Using Lemma 1.4(iii) we can rewrite the right hand side as

$$\prod_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_{g+1}\} \in \mathcal{T}} \|\vartheta\|(W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W_{i_{g+1}})^8$$

where now the product is over subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 2g+1\}$ of cardinality $g+1$. By Lemma 1.4(ii) and Definition 1.10, this is equal to $\|\varphi_g\|(X)$. $\square$

The next result is a special case of a formula due to Guàrdia, *cf.* [8], Corollary 2.6.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let* $W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g}, W$ *be distinct Weierstrass points of* $X$. *Then the formula*

$$\|\vartheta\|(W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W)^{g-1} = \exp(\delta(X)/8) \cdot \|J\|(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g}) \cdot \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{g} G(W_{i_k}, W)^{g-1}}{\prod_{k<l} G(W_{i_k}, W_{i_l})}$$

*holds.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.12.* From Proposition 6.2 and Theorem 1.9 we derive the relation

$$\prod_{k=1}^{g} G'(W_{i_k}, W)^{2g-2} = \frac{1}{T(X)} \cdot \frac{\|\vartheta\|(W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W)^{2g-2}}{\|J\|(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g})^2} \cdot \prod_{k \neq l} G'(W_{i_k}, W_{i_l})$$

for distinct Weierstrass points $W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g}, W$ on $X$. We obtain

$$\prod_{W \notin \{W_{i_1},\ldots,W_{i_g}\}} \prod_{k=1}^{g} G'(W_{i_k}, W)^{2g-2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T(X)^{g+2}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{W \notin \{W_{i_1},\ldots,W_{i_g}\}} \|\vartheta\|(W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W)^{2g-2}}{\|J\|(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g})^{2g+4}} \cdot \prod_{k \neq l} G'(W_{i_k}, W_{i_l})^{g+2}.$$

Taking the product over all sets of indices $\{i_1, \ldots, i_g\}$ of cardinality $g$ we find

$$\prod_{(W,W')} G'(W,W')^{n(g-1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T(X)^{(g+2)m}} \cdot \prod_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_g\}} \frac{\prod_{W \notin \{W_{i_1},\ldots,W_{i_g}\}} \|\vartheta\|(W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W)^{2g-2}}{\|J\|(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_g})^{2g+4}} \,.$$

From Lemma 6.1 we derive

$$\prod_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_g\}} \prod_{W \notin \{W_{i_1},\ldots,W_{i_g}\}} \|\vartheta\|(W_{i_1} + \cdots + W_{i_g} - W)^{2g-2} = \|\varphi_g\|(X)^{(g^2-1)/2} \,.$$

Plugging this in finishes the proof. □

## 7. Jacobi's derivative formula

The arguments leading to the proof of Theorem 1.7 specialise to the case $g = 1$ with only little modifications. We end up in this case with Jacobi's derivative formula. Thus we are able to give a certain geometric content to the formula. Perhaps this answers Mumford's comment on Jacobi's derivative formula that "it remains a tantalising and beautiful result but not at all well-understood," *cf.* [21], Chapter I, §13.

*Proof of Jacobi's derivative formula.* We consider the universal elliptic curve $p : \mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_1$ over the moduli stack of elliptic curves. Let $\omega$ be the relative dualising sheaf of $p$. We have on $\mathcal{M}_1$ the Mumford isomorphism $\mu : (p_*\omega)^{\otimes 12} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$, which is unique up to sign. It is easy to see that the canonical homomorphism $p^* p_* \omega \to \omega$ is in fact an isomorphism; in particular $\omega$ is pulled back from the base. This implies that $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ is canonically isomorphic to the trivial line bundle $O_{\mathcal{M}_1}$, even as a metrised line bundle. This in turn means that we have a canonical global trivialising section $\Xi$ of $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle$, with unit length over the complex numbers. Consider next the line bundle $(p_*\omega)^{12}$. As we have seen in Proposition 4.1, this line bundle carries a canonical global trivialising section $\Lambda$. By Proposition 4.1, we can write $\Lambda$ as

$$\Lambda = (2\pi)^{12} \Delta(\tau) (dz)^{\otimes 12} \,,$$

with $\Delta(\tau) := 2^{-8} \left( \vartheta[\eta_2](0;\tau) \vartheta[\eta_3](0;\tau) \vartheta[\eta_4](0;\tau) \right)^8$, on a complex moduli point $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{M}_1$ corresponding to the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}+\tau\mathbb{Z}$. As in Lemma 5.1, we have $H^0(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}) = \{-1, +1\}$. The conclusion is, as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, that $\mu(\lambda) = \pm \Xi$. Consider then norms as in that same proof: according to Théorème 2.2 of [19], the norm of $\mu$ is equal to $(2\pi)^{-4} \exp(\delta)$. Further, the norm of $\Lambda$ is $\|\Lambda\| = (2\pi)^{12} (\mathrm{Im}\tau)^6 |\Delta(\tau)|$ and the norm of $\Xi$ is $\|\Xi\| = 1$. Combining gives for an elliptic curve $X = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ that $(2\pi)^8 (\mathrm{Im}\tau)^6 |\Delta(\tau)| \exp(\delta(X)) = 1$. By Proposition 6.2 we have for the delta-invariant

$\exp(-\delta(X)) = (\mathrm{Im}\tau)^6 |\vartheta[\eta_1]'(0;\tau)|^8$, and plugging this in gives

$$|\vartheta[\eta_1]'(0;\tau)| = \pi |\vartheta[\eta_2](0;\tau)\vartheta[\eta_3](0;\tau)\vartheta[\eta_4](0;\tau)| \, .$$

By the maximum principle we find an equality of holomorphic functions

$$\vartheta[\eta_1]'(0;\tau) = \varepsilon \pi \vartheta[\eta_2](0;\tau)\vartheta[\eta_3](0;\tau)\vartheta[\eta_4](0;\tau)$$

of $\tau$ in the complex upper half plane, where $\varepsilon$ is a complex constant of modulus 1. We find the right value $\varepsilon = -1$ by considering the $q$-expansions on the left and on the right, as in [21], Chapter I, §13. ☐

**Acknowledgments.** The author wishes to thank his thesis advisor Gerard van der Geer for his encouragement and helpful remarks.

## References

[1] S. Y. Arakelov, *An intersection theory for divisors on an arithmetic surface*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. **38** (1974), 1179–1192 (cf. Math. USSR Izvestija **8** (1974), 1167–1180).

[2] J.-B. Bost, J.-F. Mestre, L. Moret-Bailly, *Sur le calcul explicite des "classes de Chern" des surfaces arithmétiques de genre 2.* In: Séminaire sur les pinceaux de courbes elliptiques, Astérisque **183** (1990), 69–105.

[3] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Publ. Math. de l'I.H.E.S. **36** (1969), 75–110.

[4] P. Deligne, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie.* In: Contemporary Mathematics vol. 67, American Mathematical Society (1987), 93–177.

[5] G. Faltings, *Calculus on arithmetic surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **119** (1984), 387–424.

[6] F.G. Frobenius, *Über die constanten Factoren der Thetareihen*, J. reine angew. Math. **98** (1885), 241–260.

[7] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique*, Publ. Math. de l'I.H.E.S. **4**, **8**, **11**, **17**, **20**, **24**, **28** and **32**.

[8] J. Guàrdia, *Analytic invariants in Arakelov theory for curves*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I **329** (1999), 41–46.

[9] J. Guàrdia, *Jacobian nullwerte and algebraic equations*, Jnl. of Algebra **253** (2002), 1, 112–132.

[10] R. Hain and R. MacPherson, *Higher logarithms*, Illinois J. Math. **62** (1997), 2, 97–143.

[11] J.-I. Igusa, *On the nullwerte of jacobians of odd theta functions*, Symp. Math. **24** (1979), 125–136.

[12] J.-I. Igusa, *On Jacobi's derivative formula and its generalizations*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), 2, 409–446.

[13] R. de Jong, *Arakelov invariants of Riemann surfaces*, arXiv:math.NT/0312357.

[14] I. Kausz, *A discriminant and an upper bound for $\omega^2$ for hyperelliptic arithmetic surfaces*, Compositio Math. **115** (1999), 1, 37–69.

[15] O.A. Laudal and K. Lønsted, *Deformations of curves I. Moduli for hyperelliptic curves.* In: Algebraic Geometry, Proc. Symp. Univ. Tromsø, Tromsø, 1977, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 687, 150–167.

[16] Q. Liu, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves.* Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics **6**, Oxford Science Publications 2002.

[17] P. Lockhart, *On the discriminant of a hyperelliptic curve*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **342** (1994), 2, 729–752.

[18] K. Lønsted, S. L. Kleiman, *Basics on families of hyperelliptic curves*, Compositio Math. **38** (1979), 1, 83–111.

[19] L. Moret-Bailly, *La formule de Noether pour les surfaces arithmétiques*, Inv. Math. **98** (1989), 491–498.

[20] D. Mumford, *Stability of projective varieties*, l'Ens. Math. **23** (1977), 33–100.

[21] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta I,II.* Progress in Mathematics vol. **28**, Birkhäuser Verlag 1984.

[22] G. Rosenhain, *Mémoire sur les fonctions de deux variables et à quatre périodes qui sont les inverses des intégrales ultra-elliptiques de la première classe*, Mémoires des savants étrangers **11** (1851), 362–468.

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS
*E-mail address*: `rdejong@science.uva.nl`